Feasibility and Practicality of Mining for Asteroids

Catherine Mardon, John Christy Johnson, Peter Anto Johnson, Svetozar Zirnov, Gordon Zhou, and Austin A. Mardon*

Antarctic Institute of Canada, 103, 11919-82 Str. NW, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada. T5B 2W4.

E-mail: mardon@ualberta.ca*

ABSTRACT

Economic requirements may dictate that future space exploration may require the development of a space rock mining economy. In this paper, the authors examine the factors that must be considered related to in-situ resource utilization for space exploration.

(Keywords: asteroid mining, in situ resource utilization, ISRU, space exploration, space economy, Mars colonization, Lunar exploration, extraterrestrial resource exploitation).

INTRODUCTION

There are many issues affecting space exploration missions, small and large, but it must be taken into account. In the same way as other space investigation missions, cost is a deciding variable. Transportation alone forces an expense of $10,000 per kilogram for the whole mission making it essentially not gainful or appealing to potential speculators.

A potential close momentary arrangement is build up a space rock mining economy creating of a human-business showcase. It is recommended that this situation will make it monetarily feasible and open doors to innovations not accessible today. Additionally, keeping an eye on the fact that future missions would require the utilization of local material, a space rock mining economy could help future human and automated investigations. The way towards gathering and preparing usable local material is known as in-situ resource utilization (ISRU).

Currently, ongoing space missions are required to convey life necessities, for example, air, nourishment, water, habitats, and protection required to sustain group trips from Earth to other bodies in space. The likelihood of mission success relies upon market considerations and incentives from both a scientific and business closeout of the project. Building decisions and trade studies are recognized as a framework for mineralogy, material, and procedure decisions. One noteworthy thought during the time spent acquiring life supporting materials from the lunar surface is the distinguishing proof and exhuming of crude material.

Lunar soil is delivered principally by superficial meteor/meteorite impacts. This procedure causes mineral discontinuity with organization comprising of different glasses, agglutinates, basaltic, and brecciated lithic parts. The common explicit gravity of lunar soil is said to be between the estimations of 2.90 and 3.24.

Professor Xiangwu Zeng and his group at the NASA Glenn Research Center have developed a plan count model to calculate the power requirements on fundamental standards of soil mechanics. Simulants with the properties of Apollo Regolith were utilized: the JSC1a fines, JSC1a very fines, and the JSC1a. A hydrometer test was utilized to decide molecule measures.

This test depends on Stoke's Equation. In contrast to conventional models, the Zeng model considers the capacity to deal with speeding up of the instrument sharp edge while different models expect consistent speed. It is likewise ready to compute uninvolved Earth weight. The model depends on the standards of fundamental soil mechanics and the parameters can be controlled by soil tests. These incorporate level and vertical speeding up, soil edge grinding, heavenly attendant and outside erosion blessed messenger.

A connection between the complete unearthing power, the latent Earth weight segments, and the side rubbing, as well as the above factors are drawn. Thus, taking into account all the above is-

sues they must be overlooked before humans return to space for asteroid mining missions.
It must be also taken into account that other ways of mining exist that may not incur the cost of transportation, such as mining for minerals on Mars. Thus, this must be evaluated, prior to going on the next space mission to mine asteroids.

CURRENT RESEARCH

These standards will be connected to an expansive parameter beside lunar conditions including space rock situations. These bombed planetesimals have fluctuating organizations including unstable rich components to metallic bodies with high groupings of uncommon components, for example, iron, nickel, platinum, gold, silver and other helpful uncommon metals for human use and utilization. Because of the characteristic trouble of space rock mining given the flow dimension of advances, governments and organizations have not been thinking about space rock mining as a plausible answer for draining regular research on Earth.

Cost examinations have demonstrated that an expense for a future missions to return 500-ton space rock to low earth orbit would be in the scope of $2.6 billion USD which does exclude the underlying capital expenses for framework and mechanical improvement and testing.

Difficulties incorporating the challenges in arrangement and recognizable proof of mineral stores, underscore the foundation of advancements to create, refine and transport prepared materials back to Earth as models. Critical advances in technology will be a piece of the condition to overcoming such challenges. It must be taken into account that since the costs of bringing the prepared materials back to Earth is very high, measures must be taken in order to find ways to bring those costs down, or it may not be economically worthwhile bring these resources back to Earth.

In the 1970's Apollo missions, astronauts brought back to Earth approximately 850 pounds of rocks at the cost of $28,000/ per pound. Thus, it must be noted, that finding ways of lowering the cost of transportation will not only allow rock mining to become a reality, but also it will largely expand the Earth's economy.

Another issue that must be taken into account is the issue of mining minerals on Mars, since NASA is working on life sustainment on Mars, they are to mine for minerals, in order to find all the necessities of life. As NASA is planning to utilize Mars, it must be taken into account that all the necessary ingredients to support life must be present there upon the arrival of humanity to the planet. Mining Mars for minerals will help to not only support life sustainment on Mars, but also to avoid the large costs of transportation.

CONSIDERATIONS FOR FUTURE MISSIONS

The outcomes discovered by the Zeng model have high reliance on soil union and along these lines frames a direct association with the measure of uncovering power required for ISRU. The outcomes will veer off from the genuine lunar example as simulants were utilized for the trials.

The utilization of genuine examples may give a progressively precise comprehension of soil properties and test results. In spite of the fact that this investigatory device can be utilized on a wide premise including under space rock conditions, current innovations currently being developed cut down the huge starting capital expenses for space rock mining to turn this concept into a reality.

There are numerous difficulties as illustrated in the literature that should be considered and planned in order to make space rock mining a possible economically feasible arrangement. All issues must be considered in par with the costs, and thus it will become evident whether or not asteroid mining is profitable.

Currently, humanity has the necessary technology that may help it to engage in the asteroid mining business, thus it may become a clear possibility if a way will be found in order to reduce the various costs associated with it. Transportation, being the main cost, is to be reviewed and taken into account before proceeding with space missions to mine asteroids in space. Mining for utilization, must also be taken into account, for not only it will support life sustainment on Mars, but also it will avoid large costs, such as transportation.

Thus, it must be concluded that space mining is not only beneficial, but also necessary to expand the world storage of natural resources, since humanity will run out of them in the near future, because large amounts of it are being consumed, and also it will greatly expand the Earth's economy and make it much more beneficial.

RESEARCH SUPPORT

This research has been supported by the Antarctic Institute of Canada and the Government of Canada CSJ Grant.

REFERENCES

1. National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA). 2005. *In-Situ Resource Utilization (ISRU) Capability Roadmap Final Report*. Retrieved from http://www.lpi.usra.edu/lunar_resources/docments/ISRUFinalReportRev15_19_05%20_2_.pdf

2. Sonter, M.J. 1998. "The Technical and Economic Feasibility of Mining the Near-Earth Asteroids". Retrieved from: http://www.spacefuture.com/archive/the_techncal_and_economic_feasibility_of_mining_the_near_earth_asteriods.shtml.

3. Carrier, W.D., N.C. Costes, W.N. Houston and J.K. Mitchell. 1974. "Apollo Soil Mechanics ExperimentS-200". *Space Sciences Laboratory*, 7, 1-135.

4. Agui, J.H., L. Burnoski, A. Wilkinson, and X. Zeng. 2007. *Calculation of Excavation Force for ISRU on Lunar Surface*. NASA Glenn Research Center: Cleveland, OH.

5. Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT). 2016. "Asteroid Mining". Retrieved from http://web.mit.edu/12.000/www/m2016/finalwebsite/solutions/asteroids.html

6. Johnson P.A., J.C. Johnson, and A.A. Mardon. 2019. "Design Considerations for Space Probes for Mineral Sampling on the Surface of Asteroids". *Asteroid Science*. LPI Contrib. No. 2189: 2011

7. Sankaran, V. 2018. "Asteroid Mining could be the Next Big Thing in Space - But we're not Ready". Retrieved from https://thenextweb.com/space/2018/06/30/1133948/

SUGGESTED CITATION

Mardon, C., J.C. Johnson, P.A. Johnson, S. Zirnov, G. Zhou, and A.A. Mardon. 2020. "Feasibility and Practicality of Mining for Asteroids". *Pacific Journal of Science and Technology*. 21(2):109-111.

Pacific Journal of Science and Technology